

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NEW WORLD MEDICAL INC. et al.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSURGICAL TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01621-RAJ-BAT

**STANDING ORDER FOR PATENT
CASES**

The following Order applies to all patent infringement cases assigned to Judge Richard A. Jones.

Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions

A party claiming patent infringement will serve on all parties a statement of the Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions, which will include the following information: (1) the identity of each claim of each patent alleged to be infringed; (2) the identity of the opposing party's accused device/method/etc. by specific name/model number/etc. for each claim asserted; (3) a chart that identifies specifically where each element of each asserted claim is found within each accused device/method/etc.; (4) whether each element is literally or equivalently infringed; and (5) the priority date to which each asserted claim allegedly is entitled, if priority is an issue.

STANDING ORDER FOR PATENT CASES -

Preliminary Invalidity Contentions

A party opposing a claim of infringement on the basis of invalidity shall serve on all parties a statement of its Preliminary Invalidity Contentions including; (1) the identity of prior art that allegedly anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious; (2) whether each piece of prior art anticipates or renders obvious the asserted claims; (3) a chart that identifies where in each piece of prior art each element of each asserted claim is found; and (4) any grounds for invalidity based on indefiniteness, enablement, or written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Expert Reports

If the parties wish to present expert testimony at the claim construction hearing, the parties will disclose expert reports related to claim construction by the date established in the Scheduling Order. Rebuttal expert reports will be exchanged 30 days later. These dates do not affect the more general expert report deadlines included in the Scheduling Order.

Proposed Terms and Claim Elements and Preliminary Claim Chart

At some point prior to the formulation of the preliminary claim chart, the parties will exchange a list of Proposed Terms and Claim Elements, which will include each term that each party contends the Court should construe. Each party will also identify any claim element that it contends should be governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6) as a means-plus-function element. The parties will then meet to identify terms in genuine dispute and facilitate the preparation of the Joint Claim Chart.

1
2 The parties will then exchange preliminary proposed constructions for each
3 disputed claim term that the parties have collectively identified. Each party will also
4 provide preliminary identification of any extrinsic evidence, along with a copy of it, as
5 well as a brief description of any witness'' proposed testimony that supports its
6 construction of the claim. The parties will then meet to narrow the issues and finalize the
7 Joint Claim Chart and Prehearing Statement.

8 **Joint Claim Chart and Prehearing Statement**

9
10 All allegations of infringement and invalidity will be filed with the Court in the
11 form of a Prehearing Statement. After that time, the Court will not consider new
12 allegations of infringement or invalidity without the asserting party showing good cause.
13 A Joint Claim Chart will also be filed, in the format provided in the Sample Joint Claim
14 Chart found at the end of this Order. This Chart will include each party's proposed
15 construction of disputed terms, together with specific references to the relevant portions
16 of the specification and the prosecution history, and descriptions of the extrinsic evidence
17 used. The parties will attach to the Joint Claim Chart copies of all patents in dispute,
18 together with the relevant prosecution history. These documents need not be resubmitted
19 upon briefing. The parties will have the complete prosecution history available at the
20 Court's request. In addition, the parties will indicate whether any witnesses are to be
21 called, and if so, their identities. For expert witnesses, the party calling the expert will
22 provide a summary of the opinion to be offered.
23

1 The Court expects the terms to be truly in dispute, and further expects that the
2 preparation of the Preliminary and Joint Claim Charts will narrow the terms in dispute. A
3 party is not allowed to propose a construction when the other party is unable to respond
4 without leave of court (e.g., in a Response Brief). If a party must propose a new
5 construction, the Joint Claim Chart must be amended to reflect that change. At the time
6 of the Hearing, the Joint Claim Chart before the Court must reflect the current proposed
7 constructions.

8 The parties should note that the Court will construe a maximum of 10 claim terms
9 at the initial Hearing. Prioritization should be guided by the twin goals of narrowing the
10 issues and choosing the 10 claim terms for which a claim construction would be most
11 productive in terms of setting the groundwork for possible settlement.

12
13 **Tutorial and/or Court-Appointed Neutral Expert**
14 **and Claim Construction Hearing**

15 The Court or the parties can request that the Court have a tutorial on the subject
16 matter of the patent(s) at issue prior to the Hearing. In those instances, the Court will
17 schedule a tutorial to occur two to four weeks prior to the Hearing. The parties, in
18 consultation with the Court, will jointly agree to the format of the tutorial, including a
19 summary and explanation of the subject matter at issue. The length of the tutorial will
20 depend upon the subject matter. Visual aids and suggestions for reading materials are
21 encouraged.

22 Alternatively, depending on the technology involved, the Court may determine
23 that the assistance of a neutral expert would be helpful. In such an instance, the Court

1 may direct the parties to confer and, if possible, reach an agreement as to three experts in
2 the field that would be appropriate to act as neutral expert to assist the Court during the
3 claim construction proceedings and/or the trial of this matter. The Court will then chose
4 one to appoint as a neutral expert pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 706. In such a
5 situation, the parties will split the cost of the expert equally.

6 The claim construction hearing will be set for one full trial day (5 hours). If more
7 or less time is required, the parties are instructed to inform Andy Quach, Courtroom
8 Deputy, at andy_quach@wawd.uscourts.gov.

9 The parties are directed to address any specific concerns with the foregoing
10 schedule in their joint status report. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, a
11 schedule set forth in accordance with this order may be modified upon a showing of good
12 cause.

13
14 DATED this 19th day of November, 2020.

15
16 

17 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
18 Chief United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22
23